

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/731,126	12/06/2000	Sheng C. Lou	6755.US.O1	9182
23492 75	590 01/28/2004	•	EXAMINER	
STEVEN F. WEINSTOCK			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
ABBOTT LAB			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEPT. 377/AP6A			1648	
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/731,126	LOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 E</u>	<u> December 2000</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 17-28 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen 3. Acknowled detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of the priority document is ma	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 rst sentence of the specification of covisional application has been receive priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial No.: 09/731,126 Docket No.: 6755.US.01

Applicants: Lou, S. C., et al. Filing Date: 12/06/00

Detailed Office Action

Status of the Claims

1. Applicants' election with traverse of Group VII (claims 5-16 and the Mabs 120A-270, 115B-151, and 115B-303) is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the purported errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (refer to M.P.E.P. § 818.03(a)). Applicants are advised that upon perusal of the disclosure and a review of the prior art the Examiner has decided to rejoin the addition Mabs (e.g., 117-289, 103-350, and 108-394) set forth in the originally filed claims. Claims 1-4 and 17-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5

10

15

20

30

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed 09 April, 2001, and 03 February, 2003, have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

Disclosure

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 17 of the disclosure references various deposited hybridoma cell lines but fails to provide the ATCC numbers. Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the

best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

5. Claims 6, 9-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure for the claimed invention. It is apparent that the claimed Mabs are required to practice the claimed invention. As a required element they must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the hybridoma cell line producing said Mab. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.802.

Due to the well-documented nature of the generation of antibody absence of any detailed structural diversity, and in the information pertaining to any given Mab, the specification clearly fails to provide a repeatable method for obtaining any particular Moreover, the various Mabs claimed do not appear to be readily available materials. Accordingly, a deposit of the hybridoma cell line produced said Mabs would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. If a deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.808.

If the deposits have not been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to

make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

5

10

15

20

- (a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposits will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;
- (b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent;
- (c) the deposits will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;
- (d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.807; and
- (e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function in the manner described in the specification.
- In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.809(d) should be added to the specification. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.803-1.809 for additional explanation of these requirements.

35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim references Mab 120-270 when it appears to actually reference Mab 120A-270. Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. \$ 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this

section made in this Office action:

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 5, 8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Butman and Venetta (1996). This teaching discloses Mabs (including labeled conjugates) that recognize the capsid antigens of both HIV-1 and -2 and detection assays employing said Mabs.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Detection methods employing the specific Mabs (e.g., 120A-270, 115B-151, 117-289, 103-350, 115B-303, and 108-394) appear to be free of the prior art and would be allowable provided the biological deposit guidelines are met. Applicants should amend the claim language to reflect the deposit information when obtained (i.e., A method for detecting ... wherein at least one monoclonal antibody is selected from the group consisting of 120A-270, 115B-151, ...).

Correspondence

10. Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward the following Group 1600 fax number: (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2227. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Laurie Scheiner or James Housel, can be reached at (703) 308-1122 or (703) 308-4027, respectively. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the

status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is $(703)\ 308-0196$.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648

24 January, 2004